Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/766,390	CALLAWAY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
St. John Courtenay III	2126	, <u> </u>
(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communications.	s application. If not included ation will be mailed in due co	urse. <b>THIS</b>
porting arguments filed Sept. 8,	<u>2004</u> .	
Examiner.		
e been received. e been received in Application No ocuments have been received in Application No ocuments have been received in Section 1.  for this communication to file a report of this application.  Initial Note the attached EXAMING as reason(s) why the oath or deceived by the submitted.  It is son's Patent Drawing Review (Page 1.84(c)) should be written on the difference of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA.	o this national stage application this national stage application apply complying with the requirement of the control of the Office action of the front (not the batter).  AL must be submitted. Not	rements FICE OF
6. ☐ Interview Summ Paper No./Mail 08), 7. ☑ Examiner's Ame	nary (PTO-413), Date endment/Comment  ement of Reasons for Allowa  St. John Courtenay III	nce
	Examiner  St. John Courtenay III  St. Courtenay I	D9/766,390 CALLAWAY ET AL.  Examiner St. John Courtenay III St. John

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) 09/766,390 Art Unit: 2126

# **Examiner's Amendment & Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance**

## **Examiner's Amendment**

An Examiner's Amendment to the record appears below. Authorization for this Examiner's Amendment was received by telephone from Lisa A. Haile, attorney of record, on Dec. 6, 2004.

Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to Applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.312.

## The application has been amended as follows:

#### IN THE CLAIMS:

## As per independent claim 1, line 1:

"A system" has been changed to:
--- A computer-implemented system ---

## As per independent claim 11, line 1:

"A method" has been changed to:
--- A computer-implemented method ---

## As per independent claim 11, line 4:

"executing an processing" has been changed to:
--- executing a processing ---

#### IN THE SPECIFICATION:

#### Page 2, line 23:

"executing an processing" has been changed to:
--- executing a processing" ---

09/766,390 Art Unit: 2126

#### **Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance**

In light of Applicant's arguments of record, the Examiner has reconsidered the rejections set forth in the last office action and concurs with Applicant's assertion that the claims are allowable for at least the following reasons over the cited Khoros Manual:

- The polymorphic operator in the Khoros Manual does not provide a multiport node for each operator object and does not instantiate a pluggable operator that connects the multiport nodes together at runtime, as claimed.
- 2) Furthermore, the Khoros Manual does not disclose that "the connection of multiport nodes implements the processing functions of the operator objects to execute the application," (re: claim 11: see "an operator object for executing a processing function on the image or image- derived data"), as claimed.

The Examiner has conducted a second search and carefully reviewed all prior art of record (including all 'X' references cited within the EPO search report) and has concluded that the prior art of record does not teach nor fairly suggest the aforementioned limitations operatively coupled as claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by Applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) with respect to provisional application 60/177,111, filed Jan. 20, 2000, is acknowledged.

Application/Control Number:

09/766,390 Art Unit: 2126 Page 4

#### **How to Contact the Examiner:**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to St. John Courtenay III, whose telephone number is 571-272-3761. A voice mail service is also available at this number. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, An Meng-AI who can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

# All responses sent by U.S. Mail should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# Patent Customers advised to FAX communications to the USPTO

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/faxnotice.pdf

Effective Oct. 15, 2003, ALL patent application correspondence transmitted by FAX must be directed to the new PTO central FAX number:

**NEW PTO CENTRAL FAX NUMBER:** 703-872-9306

Application/Control Number:

09/766,390 Art Unit: 2126

• Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **TC 2100 Group receptionist**: (703) 305-3900.

Please direct inquiries regarding fees, paper matching, and other issues not involving the Examiner to:

Technical Center 2100 CUSTOMER SERVICE: 703 306-5631

The Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) is available online at: <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/index.html">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/index.html</a>

ST. JOHN COURTENAY HI PRIMARY EXAMINER